Exhibit 3

1	ARBITRATION DAY IV - CONFIDENTIAL	
2	You're talking about her September visit to the	
3	Singapore office, correct?	
4	A. This is my lawyer who wrote that, my previous	
5	lawyer.	03:01:04
6	Q. Okay. Maybe I should start with is there	
7	anything false between 132 and 134, those paragraphs?	
8	Anything just untrue in these allegations?	
9	(Pause.)	
10	THE WITNESS: "Well outside contractual	03:01:25
11	duties" through to 134?	
12	BY MR. SHAH:	
13	Q. Yes, sir.	
14	A. Yes.	
15	MR. BRICKMAN: He wants to know if	03:01:43
16	anything's false.	
17	BY MR. SHAH:	
18	Q. Anything you'd retract?	
19	A. I'm not retracting anything.	
20	Q. Okay. It says "Choukroun's visit brought	03:01:53
21	this circumstance to light," reflecting it says "It	
22	turned out that the Singapore office did not have a	
23	license for futures trading and Webster had no intention	
		I
24	of getting one."	



1	ARBITRATION DAY IV - CONFIDENTIAL	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. So you knew the whole time that you were	
4	recruiting Ms. Choukroun that she didn't have that	
5	BGC did not have a Singapore futures license, right?	03:02:16
6	A. Did I know the whole time?	
7	Q. The whole time? You were aware	
8	A. No, I didn't know the whole time. I was	
9	actually told you did have a license and then found out	
10	you didn't, and then we were trying to find a solution,	03:02:26
11	because I was actually told you did have a license by	
12	Mr. Aubin, but he didn't know.	
13	Q. You were told many, many times by Mr.	
14	Webster, Annette Fong, and others that you did not have	
15	a Singapore futures license, right?	03:02:38
16	A. Yeah, but Mr. Aubin is more senior than Mark	
17	Webster, so he is running the show. I'm speaking to	
18	Mr. Aubin, he's speaking to Elise Choukroun, and Mark	
19	Webster is getting upset because Mr. Aubin is	
20	controlling the situation, not me.	03:02:52
21	Q. Okay. Maybe we can at least agree on this.	
22	If you go to paragraph 136, in the second sentence,	
23	where you claim that "The whole Elise Choukroun debacle	
24	caused a heart attack"?	
25	A. Where is this?	03:03:07
		1



and the contract of the contra	
Q. Paragraph 136, last sentence. You will at	
least admit that that's not true, right?	
A. I do not admit that that's not true. It	
the chaos is that I experienced since 2012, but notably	03:03:17
when I moved to New York, the stress of that caused the	
heart attack compiled with the absolute chaos in hiring,	
what should be a simple process. And if it's not a	
simple process, you don't get her to sign a contract and	
you do not start paying her.	03:03:39
You started paying her. That means someone signed	
off and they're happy for her to be in the office.	
Otherwise, you wouldn't start paying her.	
Q. You had a heart attack in July of 2015, sir?	
A. Yes, I did.	03:03:52
Q. Mr. Webster's email to you took place in	
September 2015, correct?	
A. Yeah. He knew I'd had a heart attack, so	
wrote those emails on purpose, I guess.	
Q. Tell me more about that.	03:04:04
A. What do you mean?	
Q. You think you think Mr you think	
Mr. Webster wrote you that email on purpose because he	
knew you had a heart attack?	
	least admit that that's not true, right? A. I do not admit that that's not true. It the chaos is that I experienced since 2012, but notably when I moved to New York, the stress of that caused the heart attack compiled with the absolute chaos in hiring, what should be a simple process. And if it's not a simple process, you don't get her to sign a contract and you do not start paying her. You started paying her. That means someone signed off and they're happy for her to be in the office. Otherwise, you wouldn't start paying her. Q. You had a heart attack in July of 2015, sir? A. Yes, I did. Q. Mr. Webster's email to you took place in September 2015, correct? A. Yeah. He knew I'd had a heart attack, so wrote those emails on purpose, I guess. Q. Tell me more about that. A. What do you mean? Q. You think you think Mr you think Mr. Webster wrote you that email on purpose because he



ARBITRATION DAY IV - CONFIDENTIAL	
he I mean, I would never write that email in the	
first place, but if I knew someone had just had a heart	
attack and were recovering and trying to do a good job	
and being extremely proactive, there's no way I would	03:04:30
ever write that, because I know that if I did that, it	
would exacerbate that person's conditions and cause them	
harm.	
So is Mr. Webster aware that I had had a heart	
attack? Yes, he is, because he spoke to me in the	03:04:45
London office.	
CHAIRMAN KHEEL: Could you read the	
question back?	
(Thereupon, the requested portion of the	
stenographic record was read back by the	03:05:02
shorthand reporter.)	
CHAIRMAN KHEEL: I understand your	
answer, but it wasn't directly responsive to	
the question, which was: "Do you think he did	
it because you had a heart attack?"	03:05:10
And I only use that as I don't want	
you to change your answer. I just want to use	
it as an example of listening to the	
question	
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I	03:05:21
	he I mean, I would never write that email in the first place, but if I knew someone had just had a heart attack and were recovering and trying to do a good job and being extremely proactive, there's no way I would ever write that, because I know that if I did that, it would exacerbate that person's conditions and cause them harm. So is Mr. Webster aware that I had had a heart attack? Yes, he is, because he spoke to me in the London office. CHAIRMAN KHEEL: Could you read the question back? (Thereupon, the requested portion of the stenographic record was read back by the shorthand reporter.) CHAIRMAN KHEEL: I understand your answer, but it wasn't directly responsive to the question, which was: "Do you think he did it because you had a heart attack?" And I only use that as I don't want you to change your answer. I just want to use it as an example of listening to the question



	ANDRIESZ V. BCG FINANCIAL, L.P. 1324	
1	ARBITRATION DAY IV - CONFIDENTIAL	
2	CHAIRMAN KHEEL: and only answering	
3	the question as asked.	
4	I know you have a lot you want to say.	
5	You're welcome to say it, but I think it would	03:05:28
6	help us all if you could just answer the	
7	precise question.	
8	I don't want any further you know,	
9	answers with respect to that, because I think	
10	you covered the field.	03:05:39
11	But, Mr. Shah, you	
12	THE WITNESS: I have no idea whether he	
13	did it on purpose or not.	
14	BY MR. SHAH:	
15	Q. Okay, but that email could not have caused	03:05:47
16	your heart attack, because you'd had it months earlier,	
17	fair?	
18	A. If it came after the yeah, it came after,	
19	so that's agreed.	
20	Q. Okay. I want to shift gears a little bit.	03:05:57
21	(Thereupon, an informal discussion was	
22	held off the record.)	
23	BY MR. SHAH:	
24	Q. One of your allegations in this case is that	
25	when you returned from an administrative leave in	03:06:39



1	ARBITRATION DAY IV - CONFIDENTIAL	
2	February 2016, you received a warning letter, a conduct	
3	warning, that you contend was retaliation, correct?	
4	A. What page are you on?	
5	Q. There's no document in front of you. I just	03:06:59
6	want to set the stage.	
7	ARBITRATOR ELKIND: Did you have a date,	
8	time? I know it's somewhere floating around.	
9	(Indistinguishable crosstalk.)	
10	MR. BRICKMAN: February 2016.	03:07:07
11	CHAIRMAN KHEEL: You have at least three	
12	warning letters.	
13	BY MR. SHAH:	
14	Q. One of the allegations in your case is that	
15	when you returned from an administrative leave in	03:07:14
16	February 2016	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q you received a conduct warning that you	
19	claim in this case is retaliation for you engaging in	
20	(inaudible); is that right?	03:07:25
21	A. Obviously, they a conduct warning. They	
22	tried several conduct warnings.	
23	Q. And the one that you received when you	
24	returned from the administrative leave in February	
25	related to a certain payment arrangement with members of	03:07:40
		I

